Effects of low- and high-volume resistance exercise on postprandial lipaemia
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Postprandial lipaemia (PL) is associated with the metabolic syndrome, CVD and endothelial dysfunction. Aerobic exercise has been shown to reduce PL. Although resistance exercise is recommended for the improvement of the quality of life, management of body weight and prevention of several disorders, its effect on PL has received little attention. The present study examined the effects of low-volume resistance exercise (LVRE) and high-volume resistance exercise (HVRE) on PL. Ten healthy young men performed three trials, each conducted over 2 d. On the afternoon of day 1, they either refrained from exercise (control), performed LVRE (two sets of eight exercises, twelve repetitions at twelve repetitions maximum (RM) in each set; energy expenditure 0·76 MJ), or performed HVRE (four sets of eight exercises, twelve repetitions at 12RM in each set; energy expenditure 1·40 MJ). On the morning of day 2 they consumed a meal containing 67 kJ/kg body weight, of which 65 % energy was from fat. Blood samples were obtained in the fasted state and for 6 h postprandially. The total area under the TAG curve (AUC; mmol/l £ h) was lower (P<0·05) in HVRE (8·76 (SD 3·20)) and LVRE (9·29 (SD 3·64)) compared with control (11·60 (SD 4·35)). The incremental AUC was lower in HVRE compared with control (3·07 (SD 2·53) v. 5·58 (SD 3·72)), but not different between LVRE (3·86 (SD 2·29)) and control. In conclusion, resistance exercise of 1·40 MJ (four sets – eight exercises – twelve RM) or 0·76 MJ (two sets – eight exercises – twelve RM) before a high-fat meal reduces the total postprandial lipaemic response.
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Postprandial lipaemia (PL) is associated with the metabolic syndrome, CVD, endothelial dysfunction and atherogenesis (Gotto, 1998). Thus, reducing PL may lower the risk for the development of these disorders. Acute aerobic exercise about 15–18 h before a high-fat meal attenuates PL (Tsetsonis & Hardman, 1996; Tsetsonis et al. 1997; Malkova et al. 1999; Gill et al. 2001; Gill et al. 2002a). The energy expended during aerobic exercise is a key determinant of the exercise-induced attenuation of PL (Gill et al. 2002a; Gill & Hardman, 2003).

Resistance exercise is recommended by health associations for the improvement of the quality of life, management of body weight and prevention of several disorders (Jakicic et al. 2001; Kraemer et al. 2002; Kohrt et al. 2004; Sigal et al. 2006). To date three studies have examined the delayed (after 14–16 h) effects of resistance exercise on PL and have reported either reduction (Petitt et al. 2003) or no change (Burns et al. 2005; Shannon et al. 2005). Another study examined the effect after 1 h of resistance exercise and reported an increase in PL (Burns et al. 2006). It should be highlighted that Shannon et al. (2005) and Burns et al. (2006) used different experimental approaches from that of Petitt et al. (2003) and Burns et al. (2005). Thus, the effects of resistance exercise on PL are not clear.

According to the American College of Sports Medicine recommendation for novice to intermediate trainees (Kraemer et al. 2002), the amount of resistance exercise in the studies of Petitt et al. (2003) and Burns et al. (2005), three to four sets of ten to eleven exercises for ten repetitions, represents a high target for well-motivated individuals of the general population. Since exercise dose is an important consideration when designing health-related exercise programmes, it is essential to know whether lower volumes of resistance exercise, which may be more attainable by the general public, mitigate PL. The only study that examined the effects of different volumes of resistance exercise on PL reported no dose–response relationship (Shannon et al. 2005). However, the authors investigated the dose–response issue while counterbalancing the energy deficit of exercise. That is, after performing resistance exercise (one, three or five sets), the subjects consumed proportionally more energy and fat compared with the control trial (reaching over two-fold) on the night before the fat-tolerance test. This may have increased...
the likelihood of dietary modification as a confounding factor masking the effect of resistance exercise on the postprandial lipaemic response. It would be of interest to examine the effects of various doses of resistance exercise on PL without abolishing the exercise-related manifestations, which include energy deficit as well as physiological, metabolic and hormonal perturbations. Furthermore, the authors of the same study (Shannon et al. 2005) based their findings on a mixed sample of males and females, although they reported a different postprandial lipaemic response to resistance exercise between the sexes.

Based on the contradictory findings described, the delayed effects of resistance exercise on PL require further investigation. In addition, no study has investigated the effects of various doses of resistance exercise on PL in men, while controlling for dietary intake on the day before the fat-tolerance test. With these issues in mind, the present study was designed to investigate the effects of prior high-volume resistance exercise (HVRE) and low-volume resistance exercise (LVRE) on PL.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

Ten healthy young men (age 24·6 (SD 1·5) years; body weight 77·8 (SD 8·2) kg; height 1·79 (SD 0·04) m; BMI 24·2 (SD 2·2) kg/m²; body fat 17·1 (SD 3·8) %) with recreational experience in weight lifting (two to three times/week for over 1 year) volunteered to participate in the study and signed an informed consent form. All subjects completed a medical history questionnaire and were included in the study if they were free of cardiac, respiratory and metabolic diseases, and if they were not taking any medication or dietary supplements. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

Study design

All subjects performed in random order three trials spaced at least 1 week apart, each trial conducted over 2 d. On the afternoon of day 1 they either refrained from exercise (control), performed LVRE, or performed HVRE. On the morning of day 2, after 12 h of fasting, the subjects consumed a high-fat meal. The meal was administered approximately 16 h after the end of the resistance exercise trials. Blood samples were obtained at baseline and at 0·5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 h after the meal for the measurement of plasma TAG, glucose and insulin. The study design is depicted in Fig. 1.

Preparation for the trials

The subjects were asked to record their diet for 2 d before the initiation of the study. Then they were asked to replicate this diet during the 2 d before each test meal and record their actual diet. Furthermore, subjects were instructed to abstain from alcohol ingestion and vigorous physical activity (except for the experimental exercise) at least 2 d before each test meal, and from caffeine consumption at least 1 d before each test meal.

Resistance exercise protocols

On the two occasions that each subject performed resistance exercise, they reported to the laboratory at 15.00–16.00 hours on day 1. A portable gas analyser (VO2000; MedGraphics, St Paul, MN, USA) was calibrated and the subjects wore the mask for the measurement of energy expenditure throughout the exercise session. The two experimental resistance exercise protocols consisted of eight exercises that were performed in the following order: leg extension, bench press, leg press, latissimus dorsi pull-down, calf-raise, biceps curl, triceps extension, and sit-up. The sit-ups were performed until failure, whereas all other exercises consisted of twelve repetitions at twelve repetitions maximum (RM) in each set. The load corresponding to twelve RM had been determined 7 d before the first trial.

In LVRE the subjects performed two sets and in HVRE four sets in each exercise station before proceeding to the next one. The selection of exercise parameters (sets and repetitions) was based on the following considerations: (i) repetitions should not exceed the upper limit of twelve RM set by the American College of Sport Medicine for improvement of muscular strength for novice to intermediate-level trainees; (ii) one protocol should approximate the energy expenditure in the study by Petitt et al. (2003) and the other should have half that energy expenditure; (iii) four sets elicit maximal strength

![Fig. 1. Study design. LVRE, low-volume resistance exercise; HVRE, high-volume resistance exercise.](image-url)
gains in trained and untrained individuals (Rhea et al. 2003), and most recreationally active individuals perform one to four sets of eight to twelve RM in their resistance exercise programmes.

In both protocols the resting period was 1·5 min between sets and 2 min between exercises. If, during the execution of a set before the final one, a subject was unable to complete twelve repetitions, the weight was reduced by 5 kg in the next set(s). The total duration of the LVRE trial was 39 (sd 1) min and that of the HVRE trial 79 (sd 1) min. Soreness was assessed at 48 h after the resistance exercise trials by using a scale of perceived pain from 0 (no pain) to 10 (very very painful).

**Experimental meal**

On arrival of the subjects at the laboratory at 08.00 hours on day 2, a catheter was inserted into a forearm vein for serial blood collections. The subjects were weighed, rested for 15 min and provided a 5 ml blood sample for baseline measurements. Then, each subject consumed a meal consisting of milk, bread, butter, mayonnaise, cheese, salami and chocolate. The meal contained 5·2 (sd 0·5) MJ (67 kJ/kg body weight); energy derived was 65 % from fat, 21 % from carbohydrate and 14 % from protein. After the meal the subjects were not allowed to eat or exercise for 6 h but were given free access to water, the volume of which was recorded during the first trial and was administered in the subsequent trials. Blood samples were drawn at 0·5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 h after meal consumption as described for the baseline sample. No subject reported nausea or other gastrointestinal discomfort.

**Anthropometric measurements and analytical procedures**

Body weight was measured to the nearest 0·1 kg by an electronic balance (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) and height was measured to the nearest 1 cm by a stadiometer fixed to the balance. Percentage body fat was estimated from skinfold measurement at triceps and subscapular sites (Slaughter et al. 1988). Food records were analysed as described (Kolifa et al. 2004). Blood was collected in tubes containing EDTA and was immediately centrifuged at 1500 g for 5 min. Plasma was removed, separated into samples and stored at −80°C for later analysis of TAG, glucose and insulin. TAG and glucose were measured by enzymic photometric methods using reagent kits from BEST (Athens, Greece). Insulin was analysed by enzyme immunoassay using a kit from DRG (Marburg, Germany). All samples were analysed on a single day for each parameter to eliminate assay variation. The intra-assay CV were 1·8 % for TAG, 1·8 % for glucose and 3·8 % for insulin.

**Statistical analysis and calculations**

All data are presented as mean values and standard deviations and were analysed by using Statistica version 6·0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). A two-way ANOVA (protocol × time) with repeated measures on both factors was used to analyse the TAG, glucose and insulin concentrations. Areas under the curve (AUC), calculated as previously described (Kolifa et al. 2004), were used as summary measures of the TAG, glucose and insulin responses. In addition, we calculated the incremental TAG AUC, which eliminates the contribution of the fasting TAG concentration to the postprandial lipaemic response. The insulin sensitivity index was calculated by the HOMA2 calculator (version 2·2; Diabetes Trials Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK) using the baseline values of glucose and insulin. One-way ANOVA with repeated measures was used to compare the AUC for each parameter, homeostasis model assessment of relative insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and the dietary data. Where appropriate, ANOVA tests were followed by Scheffé pairwise comparisons to identify significantly different means. All statistical tests were performed with a two-tailed hypothesis, accepting $P<0·05$ as significant.

**Results**

The gross energy expenditure in the LVRE and HVRE protocols was 0·76 (sd 0·09) and 1·40 (sd 0·17) MJ, respectively ($P<0·001$). At 48 h after the resistance exercise protocols the subjects reported none to mild overall muscle soreness, scoring 10 (sd 0·9) points after LVRE and 1·3 (sd 0·7) points after HVRE. Energy and macronutrient intake over the 2 d before each fat-tolerance test were not different among trials (Table 1).

Fig. 2 shows the plasma TAG concentrations before the high-fat meal and during 6 h after its consumption in the control, LVRE and HVRE trials. Two-way ANOVA revealed significant main effects of protocol and time on TAG ($P<0·001$). Post hoc analysis within main effects showed that TAG values were significantly lower in HVRE ($P=0·002$) and LVRE ($P=0·006$) compared with control, and TAG concentrations increased significantly 2–6 h postprandially compared with baseline ($P<0·01$).

One-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of protocol on the total and incremental TAG AUC ($P=0·002$ and 0·036, respectively; Fig. 3). Pairwise comparisons showed that the total TAG AUC was lower in LVRE (9·29 (sd 3·64) mmol/l × h) and HVRE (8·76 (sd 3·20) mmol/l × h) compared with control (11·60 (sd 4·35) mmol/l × h) by 20 % ($P=0·017$) and 24 % ($P=0·004$), respectively. The effect sizes (difference of means divided by the sd of control) were −0·53 for LVRE and −0·65 for HVRE. Eight of the ten subjects demonstrated lower total TAG AUC values in LVRE and HVRE compared with control. The incremental TAG AUC was lower in HVRE (3·07 (sd 2·53) mmol/l × h) compared with control (5·58 (sd 3·72) mmol/l × h) by 45 % ($P=0·041$), but not significantly different between LVRE (3·86 (sd 2·29) mmol/l × h) and control ($P=0·195$). The effect sizes for the incremental TAG AUC, which eliminates the contribution of the fasting TAG concentration to the postprandial lipaemic response. The insulin sensitivity index was calculated by the HOMA2 calculator (version 2·2; Diabetes Trials Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK) using the baseline values of glucose and insulin. One-way ANOVA with repeated measures was used to compare the AUC for each parameter, homeostasis model assessment of relative insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and the dietary data. Where appropriate, ANOVA tests were followed by Scheffé pairwise comparisons to identify significantly different means. All statistical tests were performed with a two-tailed hypothesis, accepting $P<0·05$ as significant.

**Table 1. Dietary intake over the 2 d before the fat-tolerance test in each trial (n 10)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Mean</th>
<th>Control SD</th>
<th>LVRE Mean</th>
<th>LVRE SD</th>
<th>HVRE Mean</th>
<th>HVRE SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily energy intake (MJ)</td>
<td>12·2</td>
<td>2·4</td>
<td>12·3</td>
<td>2·3</td>
<td>12·4</td>
<td>2·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (% energy)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (% energy)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (% energy)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LVRE, low-volume resistance exercise; HVRE, high-volume resistance exercise.
TAG AUC were 0.46 for LVRE and 0.68 for HVRE. Given the classification of effect sizes about 0.2 as small, 0.5 as moderate, and 0.8 as large, the effects of the two resistance exercise protocols on PL should be considered as moderate to high. There were no significant differences between LVRE and HVRE in total or incremental TAG AUC.

The plasma glucose and insulin responses in the three trials are presented in Fig. 4. Two-way ANOVA indicated only a significant main effect of time on both parameters \((P<0.001)\). Scheffé follow-up analysis showed that glucose increased at 0.5 h \((P<0.001; \text{Fig. 4 (A)})\) and insulin increased at 0.5, 1 and 2 h postprandially \((P<0.001; \text{Fig. 4 (B)})\). The total glucose and insulin AUC were not significantly different among trials (Fig. 4 (C)).

The HOMA-IR index was not significantly different among the control \((1.4 (\text{SD} 0.4))\), LVRE \((1.4 (\text{SD} 0.5))\) and HVRE \((1.2 (\text{SD} 0.4))\) trials \((P=0.441)\).

**Discussion**

The major finding of the present study was that resistance exercise of either 1.40 or 0.76 MJ, performed approximately 16 h before a high-fat meal, lowered the total postprandial lipaemic response. The present results suggest that resistance exercise may be successful in reducing PL at doses that are
lower – and more attainable – than the 1.70 MJ originally documented by Petitt et al. (2003). Thus, the present study confirms that resistance exercise is effective at a much lower dose than that of continuous aerobic exercise (2.0–2.3 MJ) (Tsatsonis et al. 1997; Miyashita et al. 2006). On the other hand, intermittent aerobic exercise of only 1.0 MJ has been found to reduce PL (Altena et al. 2004). Collectively, the present results along with those of Petitt et al. (2003) and Altena et al. (2004) suggest that energy expenditure may not be the only factor determining the effect of exercise on PL; exercise type (aerobic v. resistance) and method (continuous v. intermittent) may also be essential.

The present results are in contrast to the findings of Shannon et al. (2005) and Burns et al. (2005), that resistance exercise of 0.57 to 2.58 MJ energy expenditure did not attenuate PL. However, as pointed out earlier, Shannon et al. (2005) counter-balanced the energy deficit of exercise by increasing (over two-fold) energy intake before the fat-tolerance test, unlike the other studies that examined the effects of aerobic or resistance exercise on PL. Thus, the difference from the present results may be attributed to the different dietary manipulation and energy status of the subjects before the fat-tolerance test. Although the energy deficit may affect PL, it should be considered as an exercise-specific feature analogous to physiological, hormonal and metabolic responses. Certainly, it is essential to understand whether the energy status before a high-fat meal alters the effect of resistance exercise on PL. Moreover, Shannon et al. (2005) did not address the different responses of males and females despite a significant sex effect on PL, which may have contributed to the discrepant results. The reason for the different results between the present study and Burns et al. (2005) is not apparent. Both studies used young males and relatively similar protocols. The only difference was that Burns et al. (2005) used subjects not regularly involved in resistance training. We used subjects with recreational experience in weight lifting to ensure that they would complete the heavy resistance exercise without extensive muscle damage (see muscle soreness scores), since muscle damage has been suggested to interfere with PL. However, we did not use subjects with large weight-lifting experience to make the results applicable to a larger population. The issue that muscle damage may alter PL has been addressed by Petitt et al. (2003) and Burns et al. (2005, 2006). In fact, the latter two studies by Burns et al. have implicated muscle damage in their inability to document a reducing effect of resistance exercise on PL (through an impaired uptake of TAG from the circulation). Thus, the similarity of the present findings with those of Petitt et al. (2003) and the discrepancy with those of Burns et al. (2005) may be attributed to greater muscle damage in the latter study. However, the effect of muscle damage on PL is speculative and requires investigation.

It is difficult to explain the quite similar attenuation of PL after aerobic exercise and resistance exercise of lower energy expenditure. However, it should be pointed out that the metabolic stress, as indicated by muscle fibre type recruitment, muscle TAG and glycogen use, cardiovascular and hormonal responses, rating of perceived exertion, excess post-exercise O2 consumption, metabolite accumulation, and protein turnover, appears to be higher after resistance than aerobic exercise (Vanhilder et al. 1985; Burlerson et al. 1998; Coyle, 2000). In addition, the intermittent nature of resistance exercise may contribute to its higher efficiency compared with aerobic exercise, since Altena et al. (2004) reported that intermittent exercise – three 10 min bouts with no meal in between – was more effective than continuous exercise of similar energy expenditure in lowering PL. Also, a recent study reported reductions in fasting TAG and insulin, as well as in the postprandial incremental TAG and insulin AUC after intermittent games activity but not after continuous exercise (Barrett et al. 2006). In contrast, other studies have not documented that intermittent exercise is more advantageous in reducing PL (Gill et al. 1998; Murphy et al. 2000; Miyashita et al. 2006). It should be pointed out that the latter studies included meals between intermittent exercise bouts, which may have confounded the postprandial lipaemic response, as suggested by Altena et al. (2004), and masked the additive effects of short exercise bouts. Furthermore, those studies employed high rest:exercise ratios (ranging from 6:5:1 to 24:1), which may have reduced the overall stress of exercise, as opposed to a 2:1 ratio in Altena et al. (2004).

The mechanisms responsible for the exercise-induced attenuation of PL observed in the present study are not readily apparent. An attractive explanation for the attenuated postprandial lipaemic response is related to the hypothesis of exercise-induced depletion of intramuscular energy stores and their subsequent replenishment (Gill & Hardman, 2003). Increased lipid oxidation, which persists during recovery, may serve to replenish the muscle TAG and/or glycogen stores utilised during exercise (Gill & Hardman, 2003; Kimber et al. 2003). Indeed, resistance exercise reduced glycogen and muscle TAG by 23 to 30% (Essen-Gustavsson & Tesch, 1990; Koopman et al. 2006) and increased energy expenditure and fat oxidation during 10–24 h after resistance exercise (Melby et al. 1993; Osterberg & Melby, 2000; Jamurtas et al. 2004). On the other hand, Melanson et al. (2002) reported that 24-h fat oxidation was unaffected by either resistance or aerobic exercise. However, evidence questions the influence of muscle lipid repletion on PL. The attenuation of PL after aerobic exercise could not be explained by the leg uptake of TAG (Malkova et al. 2000), and the postprandial lipaemic response was independent of the relative contribution of fat and carbohydrate used during aerobic exercise (Malkova et al. 1999). Concerning resistance exercise, muscle lipid stores were replenished within 24 h post-exercise (Koopman et al. 2006), although glycogen stores remained depleted. Thus, the role of muscle lipid replenishment in the mitigation of PL after resistance exercise may be challenged, but that of glycogen cannot be excluded.

It has also been suggested that the reduced PL following exercise is most probably related to increased TAG clearance from the circulation (mediated by LPL) and/or reduced hepatic TAG secretion (Gill & Hardman, 2003). This is in line with the increased plasma and muscle LPL activity for up to 24 h after exercise (Lithell et al. 1981, 1984; Ferguson et al. 1998; Hamilton et al. 1998), the increased TAG clearance in the postprandial state 16 h after exercise (Malkova et al. 2000) and the correlation of exercise-induced changes in plasma LPL activity with changes in PL (Gill et al. 2003). However, all these findings are limited to aerobic exercise. The postprandial insulin and glucose responses were not different among the three trials, in accordance with Petitt et al. (2003), Burns et al. (2005) and Shannon et al. (2005). In addition, the insulin sensitivity index was not affected by resistance exercise, in agreement with Shannon et al. (2005). These
findings show that insulin sensitivity did not contribute to the reduced PL after resistance exercise, in line with evidence that insulin sensitivity does not mediate the reduced PL after aerobic exercise (Gill et al. 2002b). Studies on insulin sensitivity in healthy subjects after 12–24 h of resistance exercise have provided equivocal results. Fluckey et al. (1994) reported reduced insulin with no change in glucose, while Fenicchia et al. (2004) and Chapman et al. (2002) found no changes in glucose, insulin or insulin sensitivity. A recent study that used an insulin tolerance test observed no change in basal glucose and insulin, and increase in insulin sensitivity 24 h after resistance exercise (Koopman et al. 2005). However, in the same study the estimation of insulin sensitivity by the HOMA-IR index did not show an effect of resistance exercise (as in the present study). Thus, different methods of estimating insulin sensitivity may provide different outcomes.

In conclusion, HVRE (four sets of eight exercises at twelve RM; 1·40 MJ) and resistance exercise of lower volume (two sets of eight exercises at twelve RM; 0·76 MJ) performed 16 h before a high-fat meal reduced PL. Our findings extend the recognised beneficial effects of resistance exercise on health and wellbeing (Jakicic et al. 2001; Kohrt et al. 2004; Sigal et al. 2006), given the association of PL with the metabolic syndrome, endothelial dysfunction and CVD, and may apply to individuals who have difficulty in performing weight-bearing aerobic activities. In light of this and previous studies with resistance exercise, it appears that its effect on PL may depend on the energy status and the training status. It is unknown why resistance exercise of lower energy expenditure than aerobic exercise produces comparable postprandial lipaemic responses. It is possible that this is related to the higher metabolic stress of resistance exercise and to its intermittent nature. Therefore, type and method, as well as energy expenditure, may be important determinants of the exercise-induced reduction in PL.
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